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1 Introduction

Let $F_2$ be the free group on two generators $a$ and $b$. An automorphism of $F_2$ is said to be positive if it sends $a$ and $b$ onto words involving only positive powers of $a$ and $b$. It follows from the results of Mignosi and Séébold [25] and Wen and Wen [30] that the positive automorphisms of $F_2$ preserve an important class of infinite words in $a$ and $b$, called the Sturmian sequences. (Sturmian sequences occur...
in various fields such as number theory, ergodic theory, dynamical systems, computer science, crystallography.) For this reason positive automorphisms are also called Sturmian morphisms in the literature. Following [22], Sect. 2.3, we denote St the submonoid of positive automorphisms in the group Aut(F₂) of automorphisms of F₂; the monoid St generates Aut(F₂) as a group.

In this article, we are interested in the submonoid St₀ of St consisting of the positive automorphisms acting by linear transformations of determinant one on the free abelian group Z², which is obtained by abelianizing F₂. We call St₀ the special Sturmian monoid by analogy with the special linear group. The monoid St₀ is generated by four elements G, G, D, D whose actions on Z² coincide with the linear transformations A, A, B, B ∈ Aut(Z²) = GL₂(Z), respectively, where A and B are represented by the matrices

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

in the canonical basis of Z². As is well known, the pair (A, B) satisfies the relation

\[
AB^{-1}A = B^{-1}AB^{-1}.
\]

The starting point of this paper was the observation that the pairs (G, D), (G, D), (G, D) satisfy Relation (1.2) in Aut(F₂), together with the commutation relations G̃G = ̃GG and D̃D = ̃DD. This observation led us to an action of the braid group B₄ (whose elements are representable by braids with four strings) on the free group F₂, fitting into a commutative diagram of exact sequences of the form

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \rightarrow & Z_4 & \rightarrow & B_4 & \rightarrow & \text{Aut}(F_2) & \rightarrow & Z/2 & \rightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \equiv & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \rightarrow & 2Z_3 & \rightarrow & B_3 & \rightarrow & GL_2(Z) & \rightarrow & Z/2 & \rightarrow & 1
\end{array}
\]

where Z₄ (resp. Z₃) is the center of B₄ (resp. of the group B₃ of braids on three strings). Actually, we obtain a more precise expression of Aut(F₂) as a semi-direct product of Z/2 with the quotient group B₄/Z₄.

The special Sturmian monoid St₀ mentioned above embeds in the kernel of Aut(F₂) → Z/2, which by (1.3) is isomorphic to B₄/Z₄. We give a presentation of St₀ and show that it can be realized as a submonoid of B₄. This submonoid is generated in B₄ by four braids σ₁, σ₂⁻¹, σ₃, σ₄⁻¹, where σ₁, σ₂, σ₃ are the standard generators of B₄, and σ₄ is a braid interchanging the first and fourth strings behind the second and third ones.

A basis of F₂ is a pair (u, v) of elements of F₂ generating F₂ freely. A basis determines uniquely an automorphism of F₂, and vice versa. In 1917, Nielsen [26] proved that the group of outer automorphisms of F₂ is isomorphic to GL₂(Z). In other words, two bases of F₂ are conjugate if and only if their images in the abelian quotient group Z² coincide. Using Christoffel words, which are finite words related to Sturmian sequences, we construct in a simple way an explicit basis of F₂ lifting any given basis of Z². Our construction is a geometric variant of Cohn’s

1 The title page of this paper carries the indication Von J. Nielsen im Felde and the last page the words Konstantinopel im Oktober 1917. According to Fenchel [15], Nielsen served as a military adviser to the Ottoman government during the First World War.
construction of primitive elements [10] and of Osborne and Zieschang’s construction of bases (see [27] with corrections in [17]).

It is also shown in [26] that \((u, v)\) is a basis of \(F_2\) if and only if \(uvu^{-1}v^{-1}\) is conjugate to \(aba^{-1}b^{-1}\) or to \((aba^{-1}b^{-1})^{-1}\). In this paper we provide another criterion for \((u, v)\) to be a basis; this criterion is based on chains of mutually conjugate couples of elements of \(F_2\). Using these chains, we show that, if \((u, v)\) is a basis of \(F_2\) whose elements \(u\) and \(v\) are of odd length, then there is exactly one basis conjugate to \((u, v)\) consisting of two palindromes.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we construct a group homomorphism \(f : B_4 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_2)\) and use it to express \(\text{Aut}(F_2)\) as a semi-direct product of \(\mathbb{Z}/2\) by the quotient group \(B_4/\mathbb{Z}_4\). (Incidentally, we recover the well-known fact that \(B_4\) and \(F_2\) have isomorphic automorphism groups [21].) We also derive a quick proof of the freeness of the kernel of the standard epimorphism \(B_4 \rightarrow B_3\). Section 3 is devoted to the special Sturmian monoid \(S_0\): we give a presentation of \(S_0\) by generators and relations and show that this monoid can be embedded into \(B_4\). In Sect. 4 we use Christoffel words to associate to any basis of \(\mathbb{Z}/2\) an explicit basis of \(F_2\). Our characterization of bases and the existence of palindromic bases are presented in Sect. 5.

**2 An action of the braid group \(B_4\) on the free group \(F_2\)**

In Ref. [13] Dyer et al. constructed a group homomorphism \(h : B_4 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_2)\) inducing an isomorphism of the quotient group \(B_4/\mathbb{Z}_4\) onto a subgroup of index two in \(\text{Aut}(F_2)\); their construction relies on the fact that the kernel of the standard epimorphism \(B_4 \rightarrow B_3\) is a free group of rank two. In this section we proceed the other way round: we construct an action of \(B_4\) on \(F_2\) by observing braid relations between certain generators of the monoid of positive automorphisms of \(F_2\). From this we derive that \(\text{Aut}(F_2)\) is isomorphic to a semi-direct product of \(\mathbb{Z}/2\) by the quotient group \(B_4/\mathbb{Z}_4\), and we easily recover the freeness of the kernel of \(B_4 \rightarrow B_3\).

Following the notation of [22], Sect. 2.2.2, we define positive automorphisms \(D, \tilde{D}, G, \tilde{G}, E\) of \(F_2\) on \(a\) and \(b\) by

\[
\begin{align*}
D(a) &= ba, & D(b) &= b, & \tilde{D}(a) &= ab, & \tilde{D}(b) &= b, \\
G(a) &= a, & G(b) &= ab, & \tilde{G}(a) &= a, & \tilde{G}(b) &= ba, \\
E(a) &= b, & E(b) &= a.
\end{align*}
\]

(2.1)

The sets \(\{E, D, \tilde{D}\}\) and \(\{E, G, \tilde{G}\}\) are generating sets of the monoid \(S_0\) (see Ref. [30] or [22], Sect. 2.3). The automorphisms \(G\) and \(\tilde{G}\) map to the automorphism \(A \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^2)\) under the abelianization map \(\text{Aut}(F_2) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^2)\), whereas \(D\) and \(\tilde{D}\) map to \(B \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^2)\), where \(A\) and \(B\) are defined by (1.1).

The following lemma will be our main tool for the construction of an action of \(B_4\) on \(F_2\).

**Lemma 2.1** The automorphisms \(D, \tilde{D}, G, \tilde{G}, E\) satisfy the relations

\[
\begin{align*}
GD^{-1}G &= D^{-1}GD^{-1}, & D^{-1}\tilde{G}D^{-1} &= \tilde{G}D^{-1}\tilde{G}, & GG &= \tilde{G}\tilde{G}, \\
\tilde{G}\tilde{D}^{-1}\tilde{G} &= \tilde{D}^{-1}\tilde{G}\tilde{D}^{-1}, & \tilde{D}^{-1}G\tilde{D}^{-1} &= G\tilde{D}^{-1}G, & D\tilde{D} &= \tilde{D}\tilde{D},
\end{align*}
\]

(2.2)
GD\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}DG, \quad DG\tilde{D} = \tilde{D}DG, \quad E^2 = \text{id}, \quad D = EG.E, \quad \tilde{D} = E\tilde{G}E.

Proof These relations are easily checked on the generators $a$ and $b$ after observing that $D^{-1}(a) = b^{-1}a$ and $D^{-1}(b) = b$, and that $\tilde{D}^{-1}(a) = ab^{-1}$ and $\tilde{D}^{-1}(b) = b$. \hfill \Box

In the previous lemma the first three relations involving $G$, $D^{-1}$, and $\tilde{G}$ are defining relations for the braid group $B_4$. (Actually, the first six relations are the defining relations for the generalized braid group associated to the affine Coxeter group of type $\tilde{A}_3$ in the nomenclature of [8], but we won’t make use of this fact.)

Recall that the braid group $B_n$ ($n \geq 2$) is the group generated by $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ and the relations

$$ \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \quad (2.2) $$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $|i - j| \geq 2$, and

$$ \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \quad (2.3) $$

for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-2\}$.

Set $\delta = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}$. It is well known see [5] that the center $Z_n$ of $B_n$ is the infinite cyclic group generated by $\delta^n$, and that

$$ \delta \sigma_i \delta^{-1} = \sigma_{i+1} \quad (2.4) $$

for all $i = 1, \ldots, n-2$. We define a new element $\sigma_n \in B_n$ by

$$ \sigma_n = \delta \sigma_{n-1} \delta^{-1} = \delta^{n-1} \delta^{-(n-1)}. \quad (2.5) $$

The group $B_n$ can also be viewed as the fundamental group of the configuration space of $n$ (unordered) points in the complex line $\mathbb{C}$. The standard generators $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ appear naturally when one makes the standard choice of base point for the configuration space, namely the point $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. When one chooses the set of $n$-th roots of unity in $\mathbb{C}$ as a base point, then the braid $\sigma_n$ comes up as well. When one disposes $n$ strings vertically on a cylinder, then the element $\delta$ can be represented as the braid obtained by applying a rotation of angle $2\pi/n$ to the bottom ends of the strings, and $\sigma_n$ is the braid intertwining the $n$-th and the first strings (see Fig. 1 for the case $n = 4$).

Introducing $\sigma_n$ yields cyclic braid relations as shown in the following lemma.

Fig. 1 The braid $\sigma_4$ in $B_4$
Lemma 2.2 We have the following relations in $B_n$:

(a) $\delta \sigma_i \delta^{-1} = \sigma_1$,
(b) for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$,

$$\delta = \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_{i-2},$$

where indices are taken modulo $n$,
(c) $\sigma_i \sigma_n = \sigma_n \sigma_i$ for all $i = 2, \ldots, n-2$, and

$$\sigma_{n-1} \sigma_n \sigma_{n-1} = \sigma_n \sigma_{n-1} \sigma_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n \sigma_1 \sigma_n = \sigma_1 \sigma_n \sigma_1.$$

Proof (a) Since $\delta^n$ is central, we have $\delta \sigma_n \delta^{-1} = \delta^n \sigma_1 \delta^{-n} = \sigma_1$.
(b) We have

$$\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_{i-2} = \delta^{i-1} (\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) \delta^{-(i-1)} = \delta^{i-1} \delta \delta^{-(i-1)} = \delta.$$
(c) If $i = 2, \ldots, n-2$, Relation (2.2) implies

$$\sigma_i \sigma_n = \delta (\sigma_{i-1} \sigma_{n-1}) \delta^{-1} = \delta (\sigma_{n-1} \sigma_{i-1}) \delta^{-1} = \sigma_n \sigma_i.$$

Relation (2.3) implies

$$\sigma_{n-1} \sigma_n \sigma_{n-1} = \delta (\sigma_{n-2} \sigma_{n-1} \sigma_{n-2}) \delta^{-1} = \delta (\sigma_{n-1} \sigma_{n-2} \sigma_{n-1}) \delta^{-1} = \sigma_n \sigma_{n-1} \sigma_n$$

and

$$\sigma_n \sigma_1 \sigma_n = \delta^{-1} (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1) \delta = \delta^{-1} (\sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2) \delta = \sigma_1 \sigma_n \sigma_1. \quad \square$$

In the sequel we are interested only in the case when $n = 4$. The group $B_4$ has a presentation with generators $\sigma_1$, $\sigma_2$, $\sigma_3$, and relations

$$\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2, \quad \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_3, \quad \sigma_1 \sigma_3 = \sigma_3 \sigma_1. \quad (2.6)$$

The element $\delta$ defined above now is $\delta = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3$. Its fourth power $\delta^4$ generates the center $Z_4$ of $B_4$. The element $\sigma_4 = \delta \sigma_3 \delta^{-1} \in B_4$ satisfies the following relations, which are special instances of the relations in Lemma 2.2:

$$\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 = \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 = \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_1 = \sigma_4 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \delta,$$

$$\sigma_2 \sigma_4 = \sigma_4 \sigma_2, \quad \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 = \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_4, \quad \sigma_4 \sigma_1 \sigma_4 = \sigma_1 \sigma_4 \sigma_1. \quad (2.7)$$

As a consequence, $\sigma_4$ has the following expressions:

$$\sigma_4 = \sigma_3^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 = \sigma_3^{-1} \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_1^{-1} = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1^{-1} \quad (2.8)$$

(see Fig. 1 for a geometric representation of $\sigma_4$).

Using $\sigma_4$, we define an involution $\omega$ on $B_4$ as follows.

Lemma 2.3 There is an involutive automorphism $\omega$ of $B_4$ defined by

$$\omega(\sigma_1) = \sigma_2^{-1}, \quad \omega(\sigma_2) = \sigma_4^{-1}, \quad \omega(\sigma_3) = \sigma_4^{-1}.$$

Moreover, $\omega(\delta) = \delta^{-1}$. 
Proof (a) The existence of $\omega$ follows from (2.6) and (2.7). To complete the proof that $\omega$ is an involution, it remains to check that $\omega(\sigma_4) = \sigma_4^{-1}$. This follows from (2.7) and (2.8). Indeed,

$$
\omega(\sigma_4) = \omega(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_1^{-1})
= \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2
= (\sigma_4\sigma_1\sigma_2)^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2 = (\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3)^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2
= \sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2 = \sigma_3^{-1}.
$$

(b) By (2.7),

$$
\omega(\delta) = \omega(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3) = \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_4^{-1} = (\sigma_4\sigma_1\sigma_2)^{-1} = \delta^{-1}.
$$

Remark 2.4 Karrass et al. [21], Theorem 3 showed that the group $\text{Out}(B_4)$ of outer automorphisms of $B_4$ is generated by an involution $\alpha$, which they define using a presentation of $B_4$ that is different from the one above. A quick computation shows that our $\omega$ coincides with their $\alpha$. In Dyer et al. [14] it is proved that $\text{Out}(B_4)$ is also generated by the involution $\theta$ sending each generator $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ of $B_4$ to its inverse. It is easy to check that $\omega$ and $\theta$ are related by

$$
\omega = \text{Ad}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1) \circ \theta,
$$

where $\text{Ad}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1)$ is the inner automorphism of $B_4$ defined for all $\beta \in B_4$ by $\text{Ad}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1)(\beta) = (\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1)\beta(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1)^{-1}$.

Let $\tilde{B}_4 = B_4 \ltimes \mathbb{Z}/2$ be the semi-direct product of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ by $B_4$, where $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $B_4$ via $\omega$. The group $\tilde{B}_4$ has a presentation with generators $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \omega$, subject to Relations (2.6) and to the relations

$$
\omega^2 = 1, \quad \omega\sigma_1 = \sigma_2^{-1}\omega, \quad \omega\sigma_2 = \sigma_1^{-1}\omega, \quad \omega\sigma_3 = \sigma_4^{-1}\omega,
$$

where $\sigma_4$ is given by (2.8). By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$
\omega\delta = \omega(\delta)\omega = \delta^{-1}\omega \in \tilde{B}_4.
$$

(2.10)

Since the involution $\omega$ preserves the center $Z_4$, it induces an involution on the quotient group $B_4/Z_4$ and we may consider the semi-direct product $\tilde{B}_4/Z_4 = B_4/Z_4 \ltimes \mathbb{Z}/2$ of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ by $B_4/Z_4$.

Remark 2.5 It follows from [21], Theorem 3 and from Remark 2.4 that $\tilde{B}_4/Z_4$ is isomorphic to the group $\text{Aut}(\tilde{B}_4)$ of automorphisms of $B_4$:

$$
\text{Aut}(B_4) \cong \tilde{B}_4/Z_4 = B_4/Z_4 \ltimes \mathbb{Z}/2.
$$

Therefore, $\text{Aut}(B_4)$ has $\tilde{B}_4$ as an extension with abelian kernel $Z_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}$:

$$
1 \to Z_4 \to \tilde{B}_4 \to \text{Aut}(B_4) \to 1.
$$

The group $\text{Aut}(B_4)$ acts by conjugation on the kernel $Z_4$ as follows: the generators $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ act trivially (since $Z_4$ is the center of $B_4$) and, as a consequence of (2.10), $\omega$ acts on the generator $\delta$ of $Z_4$ by sending it to its inverse.
We now relate $B_4$ and $\text{Aut}(F_2)$.

**Lemma 2.6** There is a group homomorphism $f : B_4 \to \text{Aut}(F_2)$ defined by

$$f(\sigma_1) = G, \quad f(\sigma_2) = D^{-1}, \quad f(\sigma_3) = \tilde{G}.$$ 

Moreover,

$$f(\sigma_4) = \tilde{D}^{-1}, \quad f(\delta^4) = \text{id}, \quad Ef(\sigma_i) = f(\omega(\sigma_i))E$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The homomorphism $h : B_4 \to \text{Aut}(F_2)$ of ([13], p. 406) is related to the above-defined homomorphism $f$ by $f(\beta) = V \circ h(\beta) \circ V^{-1}$ for all $\beta \in B_4$, where $V$ is the automorphism of $F_2$ fixing $a$ and sending $b$ onto $ab^{-1}$.

One can also check that our homomorphism $f$ is a special case of a homomorphism $B_2 \to \text{Aut}(F_2)$ defined for all $g \geq 1$ and obtained from considering an orientable genus $g$ surface with two punctures as a double covering of $\mathbb{R}^2$ branched over $2g + 2$ points under the hyperelliptic involution (see [4, 6]).

**Proof of Lemma 2.6** (a) The existence of $f$ is a consequence of the first three relations in Lemma 2.1 and Relations (2.6).

(b) By (2.8) and Lemma 2.1 (third and seventh relations) we obtain

$$f(\sigma_4) = \tilde{G}^{-1}G D^{-1} G G^{-1} = G \tilde{G}^{-1} D^{-1} G^{-1} \tilde{G}$$

$$= G (G \tilde{D} \tilde{G})^{-1} \tilde{G} = G (G \tilde{D} G)^{-1} \tilde{G}$$

$$= GG^{-1} \tilde{D}^{-1} G^{-1} \tilde{G} = \tilde{D}^{-1}.$$ 

We have $f(\delta) = GD^{-1} \tilde{G}$. A quick computation yields $f(\delta)(a) = b^{-1}$ and $f(\delta)(b) = a$, from which $f(\delta^4) = \text{id}$ follows immediately. The relations $Ef(\sigma_i) = f(\omega(\sigma_i))E$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are respectively equivalent to $EG = DE$, $ED^{-1} = G^{-1} E$, $E \tilde{G} = \tilde{D} E$, which follow from the relations in Lemma 2.1 involving $E$. 

The following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.6.

**Corollary 2.7** The homomorphism $f : B_4 \to \text{Aut}(F_2)$ extends to a group homomorphism $\tilde{f} : \tilde{B}_4 \to \text{Aut}(F_2)$ such that $\tilde{f}(\omega) = E$ and $\tilde{f}(Z_4) = \{ \text{id} \}$.

The next theorem is the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.8** The group homomorphism $\tilde{B}_4/Z_4 \to \text{Aut}(F_2)$ induced by $\tilde{f} : \tilde{B}_4 \to \text{Aut}(F_2)$ is an isomorphism

$$\tilde{B}_4/Z_4 = B_4/Z_4 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \cong \text{Aut}(F_2).$$
Proof The group $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ has a presentation with generators $E$, $\bar{D}$, $O$, where the latter is defined by $O(a) = a^{-1}$ and $O(b) = b$; and relations

\begin{align*}
E^2 &= O^2 = (EOE\bar{D})^2 = 1, \\
(\bar{D}O)^2 &= (\bar{D}O)^2, \\
(EO)^4 &= (\bar{D}OE)^3 = 1
\end{align*}

(2.11)

(see [11], pp. 89–90). Set

\begin{align*}
g(E) &= \omega, \\
g(\bar{D}) &= \sigma_4^{-1}, \\
g(O) &= \omega\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3.
\end{align*}

Let us use the above presentation to prove that these formulas define a group homomorphism

\[ g : \text{Aut}(F_2) \to \hat{B}_4/Z_4. \]

We have to check that the elements $g(E)$, $g(O)$, $g(\bar{D})$ satisfy Relations (2.11) in $\hat{B}_4/Z_4$ (actually, the first four relations are satisfied in $\hat{B}_4$). In the computations below we shall use Relations (2.7) and (2.9) repeatedly.

Relation $E^2 = 1$: The identity $g(E)^2 = 1$ follows from $\omega^2 = 1$.

Relation $O^2 = 1$: We obtain

\begin{align*}
g(O)^2 &= \omega\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\omega\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3 \\
&= \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_4^{-1}\omega^2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3 \\
&= (\sigma_4\sigma_1\sigma_2)^{-1}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3) = 1.
\end{align*}

Relation $(EOE\bar{D})^2 = 1$: We obtain

\begin{align*}
(g(E)g(O)g(E)g(\bar{D}))^2 &= (\sigma_4\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\omega\sigma_4^{-1})^2 \\
&= \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\omega\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\omega\sigma_4^{-1} \\
&= \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\omega\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_4\sigma_1\sigma_2\omega\sigma_4^{-1} \\
&= \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_4^{-1} \\
&= \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3(\sigma_4\sigma_1\sigma_2)^{-1} = 1.
\end{align*}

Relation $(E\bar{D})^2 = (\bar{D}O)^2$: We have

\begin{align*}
(g(O)g(\bar{D}))^2 &= (\omega\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4^{-1})^2 \\
&= (\omega\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_4^{-1})^2 \\
&= \omega\sigma_2\sigma_3\omega\sigma_2\sigma_3 \\
&= \sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_3.
\end{align*}
Moreover, \((g(\tilde{D})g(O))^2 = (g(\tilde{D})g(O))^2\).

\textbf{Relation \((EO)^4 = 1\):} We have

\[ (g(E)g(O))^4 = (\omega^2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3)^4 = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3)^4 = \delta^4 = 1 \in \tilde{B}_4/Z_4. \]

\textbf{Relation \((DOE)^3 = 1\):} We obtain

\[ (g(\tilde{D})g(O)g(E))^3 = (\sigma_4^{-1} \omega \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \omega)^3 = (\sigma_4^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_4^{-1})^3 \]
\[ = (\sigma_4 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3)^{-3} = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4)^{-3} \]
\[ = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4)^{-1} \]
\[ = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3)^{-4} = \delta^{-4} = 1 \in \tilde{B}_4/Z_4. \]

We claim that \(\tilde{f} \circ g \equiv \text{id}\), which implies that \(g\) is injective. To prove the claim, it suffices to check that \(\tilde{f} \circ g\) fixes the generators \(E, D, O\) of \(\text{Aut}(F_2)\). Indeed, by Lemma 2.6 and Corollary 2.7 we have

\[ (\tilde{f} \circ g)(E) = \tilde{f}(\omega) = E \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{f} \circ g)(\tilde{D}) = \tilde{f}(\sigma_4^{-1}) = \tilde{D}. \]

Moreover, \((\tilde{f} \circ g)(O) = \tilde{f}(\omega \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3) = EGD^{-1}\tilde{G}\). Now a simple check shows that the automorphism \(EGD^{-1}\tilde{G}\) sends \(a\) to \(a^{-1}\) and fixes \(b\), hence is the same as the automorphism \(O\).

To complete the proof of the theorem, it now suffices to establish that \(g\) is surjective or, equivalently, that the generators \(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \omega\) are in the image of \(g\). This is clear for \(\omega\) and \(\sigma_3\) since \(\omega = g(E)\) and \(\sigma_3 = \omega \sigma_4^{-1} \omega = g(ED E)\). For \(\sigma_2\) we have \(\sigma_2 = \omega \sigma_1^{-1} \omega = g(E)\sigma_1^{-1} g(E)\). It thus suffices to verify that \(\sigma_1\)
belongs to the image of \( g \). We claim \( \sigma_1 = g(OEO\tilde{D}OEO) \). Indeed, by (2.4), (2.9), (2.10),

\[
g(OEO\tilde{D}OEO) = \omega \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \omega^2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4^{-1} \omega \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \omega^2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \\
= \omega (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3)^2 \omega \sigma_3 (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3)^2 = \omega \delta^2 \omega \sigma_3 \delta^2 \\
= \delta^{-2} \omega^2 \sigma_3 \delta^2 = \delta^{-2} \sigma_3 \delta^2 = \sigma_1. \quad \Box
\]

**Corollary 2.9** The subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(F_2) \) generated by \( D, \tilde{D}, G, \tilde{G} \) is isomorphic to \( B_4/Z_4 \).

**Remark 2.10** As a consequence of Theorem 2.8 and of Remark 2.5, we recover the isomorphism

\[
\text{Aut}(B_4) \cong \text{Aut}(F_2)
\]

proved by Karrass et al. (see [21], Theorem 5).

We next determine the braids \( \beta \in B_4 \) for which the automorphism \( f(\beta) \) of \( F_2 \) is inner. It is well known (see [11], Sects. 6.1 and 7.2) that the modular group \( SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \{ g \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det(g) = 1 \} \) is generated by the matrices

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

and that all relations in this group can be deduced from the relations

\[
AB^{-1}A = B^{-1}AB^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad (AB^{-1}A)^4 = 1. \quad (2.12)
\]

It follows that there is a group homomorphism \( \tilde{\pi} : B_3 \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) defined by \( \tilde{\pi}(\sigma_1) = A \) and \( \tilde{\pi}(\sigma_2) = B^{-1} \).

**Lemma 2.11** The kernel of \( \tilde{\pi} : B_3 \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is the central subgroup of \( B_3 \) generated by \( (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)^4 = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^6 \).

**Proof** It follows from the presentation of \( B_3 \) and from (2.12) that the kernel of \( \tilde{\pi} : B_3 \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is the normal subgroup generated by \( (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)^4 \). We conclude by observing that the latter is the square of the element

\[
(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)^2 = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)(\sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2) = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^3,
\]

which generates the center \( Z_3 \) of \( B_3 \) \( \Box \)

Let \( N \) be the subgroup of \( B_4 \) generated by \( \sigma_1 \sigma_3^{-1}, \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1}, \text{ and } \delta^4 \).

**Proposition 2.12** An automorphism \( f(\beta) \in \text{Aut}(F_2) \) (\( \beta \in B_4 \)) is inner if and only if \( \beta \) belongs to \( N \).
Proof Let \( \pi : B_4 \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) be the group homomorphism obtained by composing \( f : B_4 \to Aut(F_2) \) with the abelianization map \( Aut(F_2) \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). Since by [26] the kernel of the map \( Aut(F_2) \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is the subgroup of inner automorphisms of \( F_2 \), the automorphism \( f(\beta) \) of \( F_2 \) is inner if and only if \( \pi(\beta) \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is the identity matrix. An easy computation yields

\[
\pi(\sigma_1) = \pi(\sigma_3) = A \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(\sigma_2) = B^{-1},
\]

(2.13)

where \( A \) and \( B^{-1} \) are the above-defined matrices. Let \( F \subset B_4 \) be the kernel of the group homomorphism \( q : B_4 \to B_3 \) sending both \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_3 \) onto \( \sigma_1 \in B_3 \), and \( \sigma_2 \) onto \( \sigma_2 \in B_3 \). The subgroup \( F \) is the normal subgroup of \( B_4 \) generated by \( \sigma_1 \sigma_3^{-1} \).

It follows from (2.13) that \( \pi = \tilde{\pi} \circ q : B_4 \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), where \( \tilde{\pi} : B_3 \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is the homomorphism defined above. Lemma 2.11 then implies that \( f(\beta) \) is inner if and only if \( \beta \) belongs to the smallest normal subgroup of \( B_4 \) containing \( F \) and \((\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)^4\). We observe that \((\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)^4 \equiv (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3)^4 = \delta^4 \) modulo \( F \).

To conclude, it suffices to check that the normal subgroup of \( B_4 \) generated by \( \sigma_1 \sigma_3^{-1} \) and \( \delta^4 \) is \( N \). The element \( \delta^4 \) being central, it is invariant under conjugation. Set \( x = \sigma_1 \sigma_3^{-1} \) and \( y = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1} \). The following relations are well known (see [16], Relations (7)) and easy to check:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_1 x \sigma_1^{-1} &= x, & \sigma_2 x \sigma_2^{-1} &= y, & \sigma_3 x \sigma_3^{-1} &= x, \\
\sigma_1 y \sigma_1^{-1} &= yx^{-1}, & \sigma_2 y \sigma_2^{-1} &= yx^{-1}y, & \sigma_3 y \sigma_3^{-1} &= x^{-1}y.
\end{align*}
\]

The conclusion follows immediately. \( \square \)

As an application of Theorem 2.8, we give a quick proof of the following result, which was established by Gassner [16], Theorem 7 and by Gorin and Lin [18], Theorem 2.6 with different methods.

**Proposition 2.13** The kernel \( F \) of the group homomorphism \( q : B_4 \to B_3 \) is a free group of rank two.

**Proof** Consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & Z_4 & \longrightarrow & B_4 & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} & Aut(F_2) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\cong \downarrow & & \downarrow q & & \downarrow ab & & \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & 2Z_3 & \longrightarrow & B_3 & \stackrel{\tilde{\pi}}{\longrightarrow} & GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}
\]

where \( f : B_4 \to Aut(F_2) \) was defined in Lemma 2.6, \( q : B_4 \to B_3 \) was defined in the proof of Proposition 2.12, \( ab : Aut(F_2) \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is the homomorphism induced by abelianization, \( \tilde{\pi} : B_3 \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) was defined in Lemma 2.11, and \( 2Z_3 \) is the infinite cyclic group generated by \( (\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^6 \), which is the square of the generator of the center \( Z_3 \) of \( B_3 \). Since \( ab \circ f = \pi = \tilde{\pi} \circ q \), the diagram is commutative. The first row is exact as a consequence of Theorem 2.8. The second row is exact by Lemma 2.11. The homomorphisms \( q \) and \( ab \) are surjective, which implies that the rightmost vertical map is surjective, hence an isomorphism. The homomorphism \( q \) sends the generator \((\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3)^4\) of the center \( Z_4 \) of \( B_4 \) to \((\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^6\).
Therefore, \( q : Z_4 \to 2Z_3 \) is an isomorphism. It follows that \( f \) induces an isomorphism from \( F = \text{Ker}(q : B_4 \to B_3) \) to \( \text{Ker}(ab : \text{Aut}(F_2) \to GL_2(Z)) \); the latter is the group of inner automorphisms of \( F_2 \) by [26]. As \( F_2 \) has trivial center, the group of inner automorphisms is isomorphic to \( F_2 \). Therefore, \( F \) is isomorphic to \( F_2 \).

Remark 2.14  (a) As was observed in the proof of Proposition 2.12, the group \( F \) of Proposition 2.13 is generated by \( x = \sigma_1 \sigma_3^{-1} \) and \( y = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3^{-1} \). It is easy to check that

\[
 f(x) = G \tilde{G}^{-1} = \text{Ad}(a) \quad \text{and} \quad f(y) = D^{-1} G \tilde{G}^{-1} D = \text{Ad}(b^{-1}a),
\]

where for any element \( w \in F_2 \) the inner automorphism \( \text{Ad}(w) \) is defined by \( \text{Ad}(w)(u) = wuw^{-1} \) (\( u \in F_2 \)).

(b) The subgroup \( N \) of \( B_4 \) defined above is the direct product of the rank two free group \( F \) and the central rank one free group \( Z_4 \).

(c) Using the results of this section, one easily checks that the subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(F_2) \) generated by \( G \) and \( D \) is isomorphic to the braid group \( B_3 \) (compare to Corollary 2.9).

3 The special Sturmian monoid

The submonoid \( S_0 \) of \( \text{Aut}(F_2) \) generated by the automorphisms \( E, G, \tilde{G} \) or, equivalently, by \( E, D, \tilde{D} \) all defined in (2.1), was called the monoid of Sturm by Berstel and Séébold [22], Sect. 2.3. These authors proved that all relations in \( S_0 \) are consequences of the relations \( E^2 = 1 \) and

\[
 GEG^k E \tilde{G} = \tilde{G}E \tilde{G}^k E \text{G} \tag{3.1}
\]

for all \( k \geq 0 \). Observe that \( S_0 \) is a generating set for the group \( \text{Aut}(F_2) \).

From the presentation of \( S_0 \) we obtain a homomorphism of monoids \( \varepsilon : S_0 \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \) uniquely determined by \( \varepsilon(E) = 1 \) and \( \varepsilon(G) = \varepsilon(\tilde{G}) = 0 \). We call its kernel \( S_0 = \{ X \in S_0 \mid \varepsilon(X) = 0 \} \) the special Sturmian monoid. The submonoid \( S_0 \) contains the elements \( G, \tilde{G} \), and also the elements \( D, \tilde{D} \) since \( D = EGE \) and \( \tilde{D} = E \tilde{G}E \). It is easy to check that \( S_0 \) consists of all positive automorphisms of \( F_2 \) acting on \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \) by linear transformations of determinant one.

We now give a presentation of \( S_0 \).

**Proposition 3.1** The monoid \( S_0 \) has a presentation with generators \( D, \tilde{D}, G, \tilde{G} \), and relations

\[
 GD^k \tilde{G} = \tilde{G}D^k G \quad \text{and} \quad DG^k \tilde{D} = \tilde{D}G^k D \tag{3.2}
\]

for all \( k \geq 0 \).

**Proof** Let \( M_0 \) be the monoid generated by \( D, \tilde{D}, G, \tilde{G} \), subject to Relations (3.2). There is an involutive monoid automorphism \( \kappa \) of \( M_0 \) exchanging \( D \) and \( G \), \( \tilde{D} \) and \( \tilde{G} \). Let us consider the semi-direct product \( M = M_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \) with respect to this involution. As a set, \( M = M_0 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \), the product being given by

\[
 (X, 0)(Y, n) = (XY, n) \quad \text{and} \quad (X, 1)(Y, n) = (X \kappa(Y), n + 1)
\]
for all $X, Y \in M_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. It is easy to check that the monoid $M$ has a presentation with generators $E = (0, 1), D, \tilde{D}, G, \tilde{G},$ and relations (3.2), $E^2 = 1$, $D = EGE$, and $\tilde{D} = E\bar{G}E$. Using the last two relations, we can remove $D$ and $\tilde{D}$ from Relations (3.2). In this way we obtain a presentation that is clearly equivalent to the presentation (3.1) of $St$. Therefore, there is an isomorphism of monoids $M \cong St_0$. Such an isomorphism induces an isomorphism $M_0 \cong \tilde{S}_0$.

By Lemma 2.6, the monoid $\tilde{S}_0$ sits in the image of $f : B_4 \to \text{Aut}(F_2)$, which is isomorphic to the quotient group $B_4/Z_4$. We now establish that $\tilde{S}_0$ can be lifted to the whole braid group $B_4$.

**Theorem 3.2** There is an injective homomorphism of monoids $i : \tilde{S}_0 \to B_4$ defined by

$$i(G) = \sigma_1, \quad i(\tilde{G}) = \sigma_3, \quad i(D) = \sigma_2^{-1}, \quad i(\tilde{D}) = \sigma_4^{-1}.$$ 

**Proof** Since $f \circ i$ is the identity on the generators $G, \tilde{G}, D, \tilde{D}$, it suffices to check that the images under $i$ of the generators satisfy Relations (3.2) in $B_4$.

For $k = 0$ this means

$$\sigma_1\sigma_3 = \sigma_3\sigma_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_4^{-1} = \sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}.$$  

(3.3)

These relations hold in $B_4$ in view of (2.6) and (2.7).

For $k = 1$ we have to check

$$\sigma_3\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3 = \sigma_3\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_4^{-1} = \sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_2^{-1}.$$ 

(3.4)

Indeed, using $\sigma_4^{-1} = \sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_1^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\sigma_3\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3 = \sigma_3\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_1 = \sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3.$$ 

Similarly,

$$\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_4 = \sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_2^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma_3\sigma_4\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma_3\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_2^{-1} = \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1.$$ 

For $k \geq 2$ we use the following observation whose proof is left to the reader: in a group the relations $ac = xz$ and $abc = xyz$ imply $ab^2c = xy^kz$ for all $k \geq 0$. We apply this observation successively to $a = \sigma_1, b = \sigma_2^{-1}, c = \sigma_3, x = \sigma_3, y = \sigma_4^{-1}, z = \sigma_1$, and to $a = \sigma_2^{-1}, b = \sigma_1, c = \sigma_4^{-1}, x = \sigma_4^{-1}, y = \sigma_3, z = \sigma_2^{-1}$.

\[ \square \]
Corollary 3.3  The submonoid of $B_4$ generated by $\sigma_1, \sigma_2^{-1}, \sigma_3, \sigma_4^{-1}$ has a presentation with generators $\sigma_1, \sigma_2^{-1}, \sigma_3, \sigma_4^{-1}$ and relations
\[
\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-k}\sigma_3 = \sigma_3\sigma_4^{-k}\sigma_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_4^{-1} = \sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_3\sigma_2^{-1}
\]
for all $k \geq 0$.

Remark 3.4  (a) This corollary should be compared to the fact that the submonoid of $B_3$ generated by $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2^{-1}$ is free. Indeed, its image under $\pi : B_3 \to SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is the monoid generated by the matrices $A$ and $B$ given by (1.1). It is well known (and easy to check) that the monoid generated by these matrices, whose entries are nonnegative, is free.

(b) As follows from the proof of Theorem 3.2, the special Sturmian monoid $S_0$ can be lifted to the group generated by $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4$ and Relations (3.3) and (3.4). This group maps surjectively onto $B_4$.

(c) In the proof of Proposition 3.1 we showed that the monoid $S_t$ is a semi-direct product of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ by $S_0$. As a consequence of this and of Theorem 3.2, there is an injective homomorphism of monoids $S_t \to \tilde{B}_4 = B_4 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ extending $i : S_0 \to B_4$ and mapping the automorphism $E$ onto the generator $\omega$ of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ in $\tilde{B}_4$.

4 A geometric construction of bases out of Christoffel words

A basis of $F_2$ is an element $(u, v) \in F_2 \times F_2$ such that $\{u, v\}$ is a generating set of the group $F_2$. If $(u, v)$ is a basis, then so are $(u^{-1}, v), (u, v^{-1}), (u^{-1}, v^{-1})$, as well as $(v, u), (uv, v), (u, uv)$. An element $u \in F_2$ is primitive if there is $v \in F_2$ such that $(u, v)$ is a basis of $F_2$. The elements $u, v$ of a basis are sometimes called associate primitives in the literature ("zusammengehö"rige, primitive Elemente" in [26]). Two bases $(u, v)$ and $(u', v')$ (resp. two primitive elements $u$ and $u'$) of $F_2$ are conjugate if there is $w \in F_2$ such that $u' = wuw^{-1}$ and $v' = wvw^{-1}$ (resp. such that $u' = wuw^{-1}$).

Bases are related to automorphisms of $F_2$ as follows. If $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(F_2)$, then $(\varphi(a), \varphi(b))$ is a basis of $F_2$. Conversely, if $(u, v)$ is a basis of $F_2$, then there is a unique $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(F_2)$ such that $\varphi(a) = u$ and $\varphi(b) = v$. We say that $\varphi$ is the automorphism determined by the basis $(u, v)$, and that $(u, v)$ is the basis associated to $\varphi$. If $\varphi'$ (resp. $\varphi''$) is the automorphism determined by a basis $(u, v)$ (resp. by $(u', v')$), and $(u, v)$ and $(u', v')$ are conjugate by $w \in F_2$, then $\varphi' = \text{Ad}(w) \circ \varphi$, where $\text{Ad}(w)$ is the inner automorphism defined by $\text{Ad}(w)(x) = wxw^{-1}$ ($x \in F_2$). Conversely, two automorphisms differing by an inner automorphism have conjugate associated bases.

In [26], Nielsen showed that two automorphisms differ by an inner automorphism if and only if their images in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^2) = GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are the same. Equivalently, two bases of $F_2$ are conjugate if and only if their images in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ coincide. Hence the conjugacy classes of bases of $F_2$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the bases of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. There have been several constructions of explicit bases of $F_2$, one in each conjugacy class, in the literature, see [10, 17, 27]. Our aim in this section is to give a variant of such constructions using the very simple geometric language of Christoffel words.
We first define Christoffel words (our definition is a slight modification of the definition given in [7]). Such words have a long history (see e.g., [1, 2, 9, 24, 32]), and they are related to Farey sequences and continued fractions ([19], Chapter III). For a nice recent account, see [7].

Let $\tilde{u} = (p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be such that $p$ and $q$ are coprime, i.e., $p\mathbb{Z} + q\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$. We now construct an explicit lifting $u = u(p, q) \in F_2$ of $\tilde{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Such an element $u$ will be called the Christoffel word associated to $\tilde{u}$.

Let $\Lambda = (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}) \cup (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})$ be the set of horizontal and vertical lines in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Suppose first that $p$ and $q$ are nonnegative. There is a unique oriented path $\Gamma_{p,q}$ contained in $\Lambda$, starting from $O = (0, 0)$ and ending at $P = (p, q)$, satisfying the following properties:

(i) $\Gamma_{p,q}$ lies under the segment $OP$, that is, each point $(x, y) \in \Gamma_{p,q}$ satisfies $px \geq qy$.

(ii) the coordinates $(x, y)$ of a point on $\Gamma_{p,q}$ never decrease when one runs along the path from $O$ to $P$.

(iii) there is no point of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ in the interior of the polygonal surface enclosed by $\Gamma_{p,q}$ and the segment $OP$.

When one runs along $\Gamma_{p,q}$ from $O$ to $P$, one obtains a word $u(p, q) \in F_2$ by writing $a$ for each horizontal segment encountered along the path and $b$ for each vertical segment. For instance, $u(1, 0) = a, u(0, 1) = b, u(5, 2) = a^3ba^2b$.

All words $u(p, q)$ start with the letter $a$, except $u(0, 1)$, and end with $b$, except $u(1, 0)$.

Conversely, one can recover the path $\Gamma_{p,q}$ from the word $u(p, q)$ by the following rule: reading the word $u(p, q)$ from left to right, start from the point $O$ and move to the right (resp. upwards) by one unit segment in $\Lambda$ each time one encounters the letter $a$ (resp. the letter $b$). Figure 2 shows the path $\Gamma_{5,2}$ (in thick lines) and the corresponding word $u(5, 2)$.

The construction of the Christoffel word $u(p, q)$ lends itself to the following factorization formula, which is a rephrasing of [7], Proposition 1 (see also [3], Sect. 4, [10], Sect. 8, [17, 27]). We give a proof for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 4.1** If $p, q, r, s$ are nonnegative integers such that $ps - qr = 1$, then $u(p, q)u(r, s) = u(p + r, q + s)$.

**Proof** Set $\tilde{u} = (p, q)$ and $\tilde{v} = (r, s) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Since $\det(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = ps - qr = 1$, there are no points of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ in the interior of the parallelogram with vertices $0, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{u} + \tilde{v}$ ([19], Theorem 32). It then follows from the very definition of Christoffel words that the Christoffel word associated to $\tilde{u} + \tilde{v}$ is the word $uv$, where $u$ is the Christoffel word associated to $\tilde{u}$ and $v$ is the Christoffel word associated to $\tilde{v}$ (see Fig. 3 for an illustration of this proof when $\tilde{u} = (3, 1)$ and $\tilde{v} = (2, 1)$).\[\square\]

---

2 Quite amazingly for the end of the 19th century, Christoffel wrote [9] in Latin, but he also published papers in the Annali in German, French, and Italian! The author’s name appears as follows on the first page of [9]: auctore E. B. Christoffel, prof. Argentinensi. The last word is derived from the Latin name given by the Romans to the city of Strasbourg. Christoffel was the founder with Reye of the Mathematisches Institut der Universität Straßburg in 1872 (for details, see [31]).
In order to define the Christoffel words \( u(p, q) \) when \( p \) or \( q \) is negative, we use the automorphism \( T \) defined by \( T(a) = a \) and \( T(b) = b^{-1} \). (In terms of the automorphisms \( D, E, G, \tilde{G} \) introduced in Sect. 2, we have \( T = GD^{-1}\tilde{G}E \).) If \( p \geq 0 \) and \( q \geq 0 \), we set

\[
\begin{align*}
  u(p, q) &= Tu(p, q), \\
  u(\bar{p}, q) &= Tu(p, q)^{-1}, \\
  u(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) &= u(p, q)^{-1}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(4.1)

For instance,

\[
\begin{align*}
  u(5, -2) &= ab^{-1}a^{-2}b^{-1}, \\
  u(-5, 2) &= b^{-1}a^{-2}b^{-1}a^{-3},
\end{align*}
\]

A geometric representation of the Christoffel words \( u(p, q) \), \( u(\bar{p}, q) \), \( u(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \) \((p, q \geq 0)\) will be given in Remark 4.4 (a) below.

**Theorem 4.2** If \( (\bar{\bar{u}}, \bar{\bar{v}}) \) is a basis of \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) and \( u \) (resp. \( v \)) is the Christoffel word associated to \( \bar{\bar{u}} \) (resp. to \( \bar{\bar{v}} \)), then \( (u, v) \) is a basis of \( F_2 \).

We call such a basis a *Christoffel basis*.

**Proof** Before we start the proof, we observe that, if \( (\bar{\bar{u}}, \bar{\bar{v}}) \) is a basis of \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \), then \( \bar{\bar{u}} \) and \( \bar{\bar{v}} \) are either in the same quadrant or in opposite quadrants.

(1) Assume first that both \( \bar{\bar{u}} = (p, q) \) and \( \bar{\bar{v}} = (r, s) \) have nonnegative coordinates and that \( \det(\bar{\bar{u}}, \bar{\bar{v}}) = ps - qr = 1 \). We shall prove the assertion of the theorem in this case by induction on \( |\bar{\bar{u}}| + |\bar{\bar{v}}| = p + q + r + s \). If \( |\bar{\bar{u}}| + |\bar{\bar{v}}| = 2 \), then \( \bar{\bar{u}} = (1, 0) \) and \( \bar{\bar{v}} = (0, 1) \); the corresponding Christoffel words are \( u = a \) and \( v = b \), which form a basis of \( F_2 \).

Now consider the case when \( |\bar{\bar{u}}| + |\bar{\bar{v}}| > 2 \). The equalities

\[
\det(\bar{\bar{u}}, \bar{\bar{v}}) = \det(\bar{\bar{u}}, \bar{\bar{v}} - \bar{\bar{u}}) = \det(\bar{\bar{u}} - \bar{\bar{v}}, \bar{\bar{v}}) = 1
\]

A geometric representation of the Christoffel words \( u(p, q) \), \( u(\bar{p}, q) \), \( u(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \) \((p, q \geq 0)\) will be given in Remark 4.4 (a) below.

**Fig. 3** The factorization \( u(3, 1)u(2, 1) = u(5, 2) \)
If we now deal with a basis the following condition (i'): each point contained in \( \Gamma_1 \) under the reflection in the line \( a \) are in opposite quadrants, then invertible, a simultaneous application of one of the transformations appearing in (4.1), namely the inversion, \( T \), or their composition. These transformations being invertible, \( (u, v) \) is a basis by the previous case. If \( \bar{u} = (p, q) \) and \( \bar{v} = (r, s) \) are in opposite quadrants, then \( \bar{u} = (r, s) \) are in the same quadrant. Therefore, by (4.1) and by the previous case, \( (u, u(-r, -s)) = (u, v^{-1}) \) is a basis of \( F_2 \). It follows that \( (u, v) \) is a basis as well.

We have the following consequences of Theorem 4.2 and of Nielsen’s result quoted at the beginning of this section.

**Corollary 4.3** (a) Any basis of \( F_2 \) is conjugate to a unique Christoffel basis. 
(b) The primitive elements of \( F_2 \) are exactly the conjugates of Christoffel words.

**Remark 4.4** (a) For coprime nonnegative integers \( p, q \), the words \( u(p, -q), u(-p, q) \) defined by (4.1) can be obtained graphically in a similar way as \( u(p, q) \) above. Let \( \Gamma_{p, q} \) (resp. \( \Gamma_{-p, q} \), resp. \( \Gamma_{-p, -q} \)) be the oriented path contained in \( \Lambda \), starting from \( O = (0, 0) \) and ending at \( P' = (p, -q) \) (resp. at \( P'' = (-p, q) \), resp. at \( P''' = (-p, -q) \)), obtained by the following rule: reading the word \( u(p, -q) \) (resp. \( u(-p, q) \), resp. \( u(-p, -q) \)) from left to right, move to the right (resp. to the left) by one unit segment in \( \Lambda \) when encountering the letter \( a \) (resp. \( a^{-1} \)) and move upwards (resp. downwards) by one unit segment in \( \Lambda \) when encountering the letter \( b \) (resp. \( b^{-1} \)). Figure 4 depicts the paths \( \Gamma_{5, -2}, \Gamma_{-5, 2}, \Gamma_{-5, -2} \) in thick lines, and the corresponding words \( u(5, -2), u(-5, 2), u(-5, -2) \) (we have denoted \( a^{-1} \) by \( \tilde{a} \) and \( b^{-1} \) by \( \tilde{b} \) in the figure).

The oriented path \( \Gamma_{p, -q} \) (resp. \( \Gamma_{-p, q} \)) is the image of \( \Gamma_{p, q} \) (resp. of \( \Gamma_{-p, -q} \)) under the reflection in the line \( \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \). The path \( \Gamma_{-p, -q} \) is obtained from \( \Gamma_{p, q} \) by applying the translation \( (x \mapsto x - p, y \mapsto y - q) \) and reversing the orientation. These geometric considerations allow to characterize the paths \( \Gamma_{p, -q}, \Gamma_{-p, q}, \Gamma_{-p, -q} \) by conditions similar to Conditions (i–iii) above (we leave such a characterization to the reader).

(b) In the definition of \( \Gamma_{p, q} \) \( (p, q \geq 0) \) above we may replace Condition (i) by the following condition (i'): each point \( (x, y) \in \Gamma_{p, q} \) satisfies \( px \leq qy \). Under
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this new condition we obtain an oriented path $\Gamma'_{p,q}$ contained in $\Lambda$, starting from $O = (0, 0)$ and ending at $P = (p, q)$, and a word $u'(p, q)$, which we call the 
upper Christoffel word associated to $\bar{u} = (p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. It follows from the defini-
tion that $\Gamma'_{p,q}$ is the image of the oriented path $\Gamma_{-p,-q}$ (resp. of $\Gamma_{-p,q}$) defined in 
Remark (a) under the reflection in the line $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ (resp. under the reflection in 
the point $O$). Consequently, the upper Christoffel word $u'(p, q)$ is obtained from 
the lower Christoffel word $u(p, q)$ by first inverting it, then applying the automor-
phism $TO$: $a \mapsto a^{-1}, b \mapsto b^{-1}$. In other words,

$$u'(p, q) = \widetilde{u}(p, q), \tag{4.2}$$

where for any $w \in F_2$ we denote $\widetilde{w}$ the image of $w$ under the anti-automorphism 
of $F_2$ extending the identity of $\{a, b\}$. The word $\widetilde{w}$ is called the reverse of $w$.

It follows from Theorem 4.2 and (4.2) that $u'(p, q)$ is a primitive element 
of $F_2$, mapping to $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Therefore, by Corollary 4.3 (b), $u'(p, q)$ is a conjugate 
of $u(p, q)$, a result that can already be found in [10], Lemma 6.1 (see also [22, 
28]).

(c) The Christoffel bases constructed above can be related to the bases con-
structed in [27]. Let $\bar{u} = (p, q)$ be an element of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ whose coordinates $p, q$ are 
positive and coprime. The Christoffel word $u$ we associated above to $\bar{u}$ is then of 
the form $u = avb$ for some element $v$ in the monoid generated by $a$ and $b$. It is 
easy to check that the primitive element $w \in F_2$ constructed in [27] as a lift of $\bar{u}$ is 
given by $w = abv$ for the same element $v$. (Beware that the definition of the 
primitive element in Osborne and Zieschang [27] is not correct in the cases $p$ or 
$q < 0$; see [17] for a correct definition.)

5 Chains of conjugate bases. Application to palindromicity

In Ref. ([26] p. 389), Nielsen gave an algorithm for deciding when a couple $(u, v)$ 
of cyclically reduced elements of $F_2$ forms a basis. In order to describe this al-
gorithm, we may assume that the respective lengths $|u|$ and $|v|$ of $u$ and $v$ with 
respect to the generating set $\{a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}\}$ are such that $1 \leq |u| \leq |v| \neq 1$. If 
neither $u$, nor $u^{-1}$ is a prefix or a suffix of $v$, then $(u, v)$ is not a basis. Otherwise, 
there is $w \in F_2$ such that $v = uw$, or $wu$, or $u^{-1}w$, or $wu^{-1}$, in which case we 
replace $v$ by the shorter element $w$, and we start the whole procedure again with
the couple \((u, w)\). Nielsen gave also the following criterion, which he attributes to Dehn: \((u, v)\) is a basis of \(F_2\) if and only if \(uvu^{-1}v^{-1}\) is conjugate to \(aba^{-1}b^{-1}\) or to \((aba^{-1}b^{-1})^{-1}\) (see [26], p. 393).

In this section we provide another characterization for bases. Our criterion is based on chains of mutually conjugate couples of elements of \(F_2\). Using these chains, we obtain an additional result, which states that under suitable hypotheses a basis has a unique conjugate consisting of two palindromes.

We start with positive words, i.e., with elements of the submonoid \([a, b]^*\) of \(F_2\) generated by \(a\) and \(b\). We define a rewriting system on \([a, b]^* \times [a, b]^*\) as follows: \((u, v) \mapsto (u', v')\) if \(u\) and \(v\) start with the same letter \(c \in \{a, b\}\), and \(u' = u''c\) and \(v' = v''c\), where \(u'', v'' \in \{a, b\}^*\), \(u = cu''\) and \(v = cv''\). Any couple \((u, v) \in [a, b]^* \times [a, b]^*\) is contained in a unique maximal chain of arrows. The length of this maximal chain, which is the number of arrows in the chain, may be finite or infinite. For instance, if \(u\) and \(v\) are (positive) powers of a same word, then the maximal chain containing \((u, v)\) is infinite. If the chain is finite of length \(r \geq 1\), we order its constituents as follows:

\[(u_0, v_0) \rightarrow (u_1, v_1) \rightarrow (u_2, v_2) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (u_r, v_r).\]

If the chain contains only one couple, we say that it is of length 0.

The following algorithm allows to decide in an efficient way when \(u, v \in \{a, b\}^*\) form a basis of \(F_2\).

**Theorem 5.1** Let \(u, v \in \{a, b\}^*\) of respective lengths \(|u|\) and \(|v|\).

(a) The couple \((u, v)\) is a basis of \(F_2\) if and only if the maximal chain containing \((u, v)\) is of length \(|u| + |v| - 2\).

(b) If the maximal chain containing \((u, v)\) is of length \(> |u| + |v| - 2\), then it is infinite and \(u, v\) are positive powers of a same word.

**Proof** (i) Suppose that the maximal chain containing \((u, v)\) is of finite length equal to \(|u| + |v| - 2\). We may assume that \((u, v)\) is the left end of the chain. Then the infinite words \(u^\infty = uuu \cdots\) and \(v^\infty = vvv \cdots\) have a common prefix \(w\) of length \(|u| + |v| - 2\). The word \(w\) has \(|u|\) and \(|v|\) as periods. If \(\gcd(|u|, |v|) \geq 2\), then \(w\) is of length \(\geq |u| + |v| - \gcd(|u|, |v|)\); then by Fine and Wilf’s theorem (see [22], Proposition 1.2.1), \(u\) and \(v\) are powers of the same word, which implies that the chain is infinite, yielding a contradiction.

Therefore, \(\gcd(|u|, |v|) = 1\) and \(w\) is a central word in the sense of ([22], p. 68). A central word is a word having two coprime periods \(p, q\) and a length equal to \(p + q - 2\). It follows from the general theory of Sturmian sequences (see [22], especially Sect. 2.2.1) that the prefixes of length \(p\) and \(q\) of such a word form what is called a standard pair; moreover, the tree construction of standard pairs shows that each such pair is a basis of \(F_2\). Hence we conclude that \(u, v\) form a basis of \(F_2\), since they are the prefixes of length \(|u|\) and \(|v|\) of \(w\).

Conversely, if \((u, v)\) is a basis of \(F_2\), then \(a \mapsto u, b \mapsto v\) is a positive automorphism of \(F_2\), hence a Sturmian morphism. We apply Séből’s theory of conjugate morphisms, see [22], Sect. 2.3.4 or [29]: given two (endo)morphisms \(f, g\) of the free monoid \([a, b]^*\), we say that \(g\) is a right conjugate of \(f\) if there is \(w \in [a, b]^*\) such that \(f(x)w = ugw(x)\) for all \(x \in [a, b]\). This is clearly equivalent to the following property: there is a chain for the above-defined binary relation \(\rightarrow\) from
the couple \((f(a), f(b))\) to the couple \((g(a), g(b))\). By [22], Proposition 2.3.18, for each Sturmian morphism \(g\) there is a standard morphism \(f\) such that \(g\) is a right conjugate of \(f\). Moreover, a standard morphism is not the right conjugate of any morphism since the words \(f(a), f(b)\) have no common suffix, and by [22], Proposition 2.3.21, there are exactly \(|f(a)| + |f(b)| - 1\) right conjugates of a standard morphism.

(ii) If the maximal chain starting from \((u, v)\) is of length \(> |u| + |v| - 2\), then \(u^\infty\) and \(v^\infty\) have a common prefix \(w\) of length \(|u| + |v| - 1\). Fine and Wilf’s theorem then implies that \(u\) and \(v\) are powers of the same word. Therefore, the chain is infinite. \(\square\)

We now deal with arbitrary couples of elements of \(F_2\). In the sequel elements of \(F_2\) are reduced, but not necessarily cyclically reduced.

**Corollary 5.2** An element \((u, v) \in F_2 \times F_2\) is a basis of \(F_2\) if and only if the following algorithm terminates.

(i) If \((u, v)\) is not cyclically reduced, find some letter \(c \in \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}\) that is a common prefix, or suffix, of \(u, v\); then conjugate both \(u\) and \(v\) by \(c\). Continue until \((u, v)\) is cyclically reduced.

(ii) Check if the images of \(u\) and \(v\) in \(\mathbb{Z}_2^n\) are in the same quadrant; if not, verify that the images of \(u\) and \(v^{-1}\) are in the same quadrant and replace \((u, v)\) by \((u, v^{-1})\).

(iii) Check if the images of \(u\) and \(v\) in \(\mathbb{Z}_2^n\) are both in the first quadrant; if not, apply simultaneously to \(u\) and \(v\) the transformations appearing in (4.1), namely the inversion, \(T\), or their composition, so that the transformed elements have their images in the first quadrant.

(iv) Verify that \(u, v \in \{a, b\}^*\).

(v) Verify that the maximal chain containing \((u, v)\) is of length \(|u| + |v| - 2\).

**Proof** (i) It follows from ([26], p. 393, Item 2) that, if \((u, v)\) is a basis that is not cyclically reduced, then a letter \(c\) as above exists (one can also make use of the Dehn criterion cited above). Conjugating by \(c\) will reduce \(|u| + |v|\) by 4 if both \(u\) and \(v\) are not cyclically reduced, and by 2 if only one of them is not cyclically reduced.

(ii) We have observed in the proof of Theorem 4.2 that, if \(u\) and \(v\) form a basis, then their images in \(\mathbb{Z}_2^n\) are either in the same quadrant, or in opposite quadrants. If the images are in opposite quadrants, then the images of \(u\) and \(v^{-1}\) are in the same quadrant. Note that, if \(v\) is cyclically reduced, then so is \(v^{-1}\).

(iii) The transformations (4.1) preserve the property of being cyclically reduced and of being a basis.

(iv) By Corollary 4.3 (a) a basis \((u, v)\) whose image in \(\mathbb{Z}_2^n\) is in the first quadrant is conjugate to a Christoffel basis \((u', v')\) with \(u', v' \in \{a, b\}^*\) (\(u'\) and \(v'\) are cyclically reduced by construction). If \((u, v)\) is cyclically reduced, then Lemma 5.3 below implies that \((u, v)\) belongs to the maximal chain containing \((u', v')\). Therefore, \(u\) and \(v\) belong to \(\{a, b\}^*\).

(v) This follows from Theorem 5.1 (a). \(\square\)

In the previous proof we have made use of the following result.
Lemma 5.3 Let \( u', v' \in \{a, b\}^\ast \). Then a couple \(( u, v )\) of cyclically reduced elements of \( F_2 \) is a conjugate of \(( u', v' )\) if and only if it belongs to the maximal chain containing \(( u', v' )\).

Proof If \(( u, v )\) belongs to the maximal chain containing \(( u', v' )\), then \(( u, v )\) is a conjugate of \(( u', v' )\).

Conversely, suppose that \( u = wu'w^{-1} \) and \( v = vwv^{-1} \) for some \( w \in F_2 \). We proceed by induction on the length \( |w| \) of \( w \). Since \( u = wu'w^{-1} \) is cyclically reduced, there must be some reduction in one of the products \( wu' \) or \( u'w^{-1} \) (not in both). To fix notation let us assume that \( u' = u''c \) and \( w = c^{-1}t^{-1} \) for some \( c \in \{a, b\}, u'' \in \{a, b\}^\ast \), and \( t \in F_2 \) with \(|t| < |w|\). Then \( u = tcu''t^{-1} \) and \( v = vwv^{-1} = tcw'c^{-1}t^{-1} \). Since the latter is cyclically reduced and \( v' \) cannot start with \( c^{-1} \) as it is an element of \( \{a, b\}^\ast \), the word \( v' \) must end with \( c \). Writing \( v' = v''c \) where \( v'' \in \{a, b\}^\ast \), we have \( v = tcu''t^{-1} \). We may now conclude by induction since \( cu'', cv'' \) belong to \( \{a, b\}^\ast \) and \(|t| < |w|\).

As a consequence of the previous considerations, we obtain the following.

Corollary 5.4 For any cyclically reduced basis \(( u, v )\) of \( F_2 \) there are exactly \(|u| + |v| - 1 \) cyclically reduced bases conjugate to \(( u, v )\).

We now deal with palindromes. Recall from Remark 4.4 (b) that the reverse \( \bar{w} \) of an element \( w \in F_2 \) is the image of \( w \) under the anti-automorphism extending the identity on \( \{a, b\} \). In other words, \( \bar{w} \) is the element obtained by reading the letters of \( a \) (not necessarily reduced) expression of \( w \) from right to left. A palindrome is an element \( w \in F_2 \) such that \( \bar{w} = w \). Note that a reduced palindrome is necessarily cyclically reduced. We say that a cyclically reduced basis \(( u, v )\) of \( F_2 \) is palindromic if both \( u \) and \( v \) are palindromes.

Theorem 5.5 Let \(( u, v )\) be a cyclically reduced basis of \( F_2 \) such that \(|u| \) and \(|v| \) are odd. Then there is exactly one palindromic cyclically reduced basis conjugate to \(( u, v )\).

Proof Using the transformations appearing in (4.1) and observing that palindromicity is preserved by these transformations, we may reduce to the case when \( u \) and \( v \) belong to \( \{a, b\}^\ast \). Then by Theorem 5.1 (a) the basis \(( u, v )\) is contained in a maximal chain

\[(u_0, v_0) \to (u_1, v_1) \to \cdots \to (u_r, v_r)\]

of length \( r = |u| + |v| - 2 \). By [22], Lemma 2.2.8 and Proposition 2.3.21, the couple \((u_0, v_0)\) is a standard pair, and there are palindromes \( p \) and \( q \) such that \( u_0 = pab \) and \( v_0 = qba \), or \( u_0 = pba \) and \( v_0 = qab \). Let us assume that we are in the first case (the second case can be treated in a similar manner). Then the words \( u_i, v_i \) \((i = 0, 1, \ldots, r)\) are clearly the successive factors of length \(|u| + |v|\) of the word \( u_0v_0u_0^{-1}v_0^{-1} \). In particular, \( u_r = bab \) and \( v_r = abq \). Hence,

\[\bar{u}_r = pab = pab = u_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{v}_r = qba = qba = v_0\]

in view of the palindromicity of \( p \) and \( q \). More generally, we check easily that

\[\bar{u}_i = u_{r-i} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{v}_i = v_{r-i} \quad (5.1)\]
for all \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, r \). Consequently, \( u_k \) and \( v_k \) are palindromes when

\[
k = \frac{r}{2} = \frac{|u| + |v|}{2} - 1
\]

(which is an integer since \( |u| \) and \( |v| \) are both assumed to be odd integers). This proves the existence of a palindromic conjugate of \((u, v)\).

The uniqueness of the palindromic conjugate is a consequence of the following observation: if a word \( w \) is not a power of another word and if the circular word associated to \( w \) has a central symmetry, then it has no other central symmetry (otherwise the circular word would be invariant under some nontrivial rotation and \( w \) would be a nontrivial power).

Let us illustrate Theorems 5.1 and 5.5 on the couple \((u, v)\), where \( u = aba^2b \) and \( v = aba \). The maximal chain containing \((u, v)\) is

\[
(aba^2b, aba) \rightarrow (ba^2ba, ba^3) \rightarrow (a^2bab, a^2b) \rightarrow (ababa, aba)
\]

\[
(ba^2ba, aba) \leftarrow (aba^2b, a^2b) \leftarrow (bab^2, ba^2)
\]

It is of length \( 6 = |u| + |v| - 2 \). Therefore, \((u, v)\) is a basis. The maximal chain displays all seven cyclically reduced bases conjugate to \((u, v)\). The middle element of the chain, namely \((ababa, aba)\), is the palindromic basis conjugate to \((u, v)\). The symmetry encoded in the equalities (5.1) can be observed on the chain: each basis in the top row is the reverse of the basis immediately under it in the bottom row.

\textbf{Remark 5.6} (a) It can be shown that a primitive element of \( F_2 \) of even length cannot be conjugate to a palindrome.

(b) The existence statement in Theorem 5.5 is equivalent to a result due to Droubay and Pirillo (see [12], Proposition 16); our proof is different from theirs.

(c) The main theorem of [20] states that \( u, v, \) and \( vabu \) are palindromes \((u, v \in F_2)\) if and only if \( a \mapsto uba, b \mapsto vab \) defines an automorphism of \( F_2 \) fixing \( b^{-1}a^{-1}ba \). This generalizes a result of de Luca and Mignosi [23], see also [22], Theorem 2.2.4. (The automorphisms of \( F_2 \) fixing \( b^{-1}a^{-1}ba \) are the ones belonging to the subgroup generated by \( G \) and \( D \), see [10], Sect. 3, [20].)
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